

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner states that claims 1-5, 10-12, and 14-15 are allowed.

The Examiner rejects claims 5, 6-9, 13, 16-18 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is a conflict between the Examiner's rejection of Claim 5 and the Examiner's allowance of Claim 5. Accordingly, Applicant's have taken the allowed claims to be 1-4 and not claims 1-5 as recited in paragraph three of the Official Action.

The Examiner rejects Claim 5, line 1, because the term "said moving photosensitive target area" lacks antecedent basis and states that it should be changed to -- said moving photosensitive medium --. This change has been made.

The Examiner rejects Claim 6, line 14, in that "said selected speed" lacks antecedent basis. This portion of the claim has been changed to --a selected print speed-- which should overcome the Examiner's rejection.

The Examiner rejects Claim 13 as being unclear regarding the relationship between the photosensitive target recited in base Claim 10 and the photosensitive screen. Claim 13 has been amended by replacing the term "screen" with --target-- and by adding the words --movement of-- before the recitation of "said photosensitive target" on line 4 of the claim.

The Examiner rejects Claim 16, at line 6, in that "said moving photosensitive beam" lacks antecedent basis that should be changed to --said moving photosensitive medium--. This change has been made.

The Examiner rejects Claim 19, at line 7, in that "said moving photosensitive screen" lacks antecedent basis and in that the Claim was not understandable with

respect to limitation "apparatus for moving said sweeping light beam at a selected speed and in a direction orthogonal to said light beam sweeping across said photosensitive screen". The claim has been amended in order to replace the term "screen" with --medium-- and by changing the "sweeping light beam" to --photosensitive medium--. This should overcome the Examiner's rejection.

Accordingly, Applicants believe the Application, as amended, is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Texas Instruments Incorporated

By 

William B. Kempler
Senior Corporate Patent Counsel
Reg. No. 28,228
(972) 917-5452